Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/696,220	KOKURA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Walter B. Aughenbaugh	1772
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>		
(1) Walter B. Aughenbaugh.	(3)	
(2) <u>Joseph A. Rhoa</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 May 2005	Time: ~2:00PM	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none	· ·	
Claims discussed: 1,7,11,15-17		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER Examiner proposed Examiner's amendments as detailed in attack representative, accepted the Examiner's amendments in a teleph	nment to Notice of Allowance. Jos	eph A. Rhoa, Applicant's
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Mak Bah N		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)